

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/806,972	03/22/2004	Stephen Donovan	17500CON (BOT) 2337	
7590 04/24/2006			EXAMINER	
STEPHEN DONOVAN			PORTNER, VIRGINIA ALLEN	
ALLERGAN, I	INC.			
T2-7H		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2525 Dupont Drive		1645		
Invine CA 02				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/806,972	DONOVAN, STEPHEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ginny Portner	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the practice	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-22 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7, 10-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/806,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7, 10-22 are pending.

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

All prior rejections and objections are herein withdrawn in light of the claim amendments submitted.

New Grounds of Rejection

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-7, 10-22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,921,538. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the allowed claims are directed to species within the instantly claimed genus of methods. The

Art Unit: 1645

allowed claims administer a clostridial neurotoxin to a locus cerulcus or ventral tegmental area, both being intracranial sites, and the instantly claimed methods administer a clostridial neurotoxin to a local area of a lower brain region and the pontine regions which encompasses the allowed species. The allowed species anticipate the instant genus of methods.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-4, 7,17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Micheli et al (1998).

Micheli et al disclose the instantly claimed method that comprises the step of:

Instant claims 1-4, 17, 20: Administering (injections, see abstract) a Clostridial neurotoxin in a non-toxin therapeutically effective amount ("successfully treated", see abstract) to a patient,

the neurotoxin being botulinum toxin (see abstract, page 199),

to the facial pontine root entry zone (see abstract, page 199), the pontine root entry zone being within the local pontine region.

Instant claim 7: The effect of the administered botulinum toxin lasted at least 5 years (see abstract line 4).

Micheli et al anticipates the instantly claimed invention as now claimed.

Art Unit: 1645

5. Claims 1-7, 12-16, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Auchus et al (1995).

Auchus et al disclose the instantly claimed invention directed to a method of alleviating at least one symptom associated with a neuropsychiatric disorder (see title, cervical dystonia), wherein the disorder is Alzheimer's disease (see page 393, col. 1, paragraph 2), the neurotoxin being botulinum toxin A (see page 393, col. 1, paragraph 4).

The botulinum toxin A was administered locally to cervical muscles of the head, a location of the lower brain region to treat dystonia associated with dementia (see title).

The positive treatment effect lasted about a month, the effect being produced within 4 months, specifically three weeks (see col. 1, p. 5), and provided relief associated with pain or discomfort with dystonia (see col. 1, p. 6), as well as relieved agitated behavior (see col. 1, p. 6). Auchus et al anticipates the instantly claimed invention as now claimed.

Conclusion

- 6. This is a non-final action.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginny Portner whose telephone number is (571) 272-0862. The examiner can normally be reached on flextime, but usually M-F, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,972 Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vgp April 10, 2006

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600